

Extended Common fixed point theorem for multi-valued mappings in complex valued Metric space

Arti Mishra¹ and Nisha Sharma²

¹Department of Mathematics, Manav Rachna International University, Faridabad, Haryana

²Department of Mathematics, Pt. J.L.N Gov. College Faridabad, Haryana

Abstract: In this paper we are going to prove common fixed point theorem for weak compatible map. We extend the result of (Sintunavarat and Saejung fixed point Theory and Application 2012:189). The main results announced by Sintunavarat and Kumam (j.inequal. Appl :84,2012). Some of the concepts of sequence of function are already given in 2008, Dutta et. al. [7], Rouzkard and Imdad (Comput. Math.appl.,2012,doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2012.02.063). The results announced by Sintunavarat and Saejung fixed point Theory and Application 2012:189 is mainly improved in this paper.

Keywords: complex valued metric space; multi valued mapping; weak compatible mapping, common fixed point

I. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the article denoted by \mathbb{C} is the set of all complex numbers \mathbb{N} for set of all natural numbers and \mathbb{R} for set of all real numbers. (X, d) (for short), is a metric space with a metric d .

It is well known that in the literature, there are so many extensions of Banach contraction principle [1], which states that every self-mapping t defined on a complete metric space (x, d) satisfying, For all $x, y \in X$ $d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y)$, where $k \in [0, 1)$ has unique fixed point for every $x_0 \in X$ a sequence $\{T^n x_0\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent to the fixed point. But the complex valued metric space is a generalization of the classical metric space, introduced by Azam, Fisher and Khan (see [2])

II. PRELIMINARIES

Let us recall a natural relation on \mathbb{C} , for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, define a partial order \preceq on \mathbb{C} as follows;

$z_1 \preceq z_2$ iff $\text{Re}(z_1) \leq \text{Re}(z_2)$, $\text{Im}(z_1) \leq \text{Im}(z_2)$

it follows that

$z_1 \preceq z_2$

if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- i. $\text{Re}(z_1) = \text{Re}(z_2)$, $\text{Im}(z_1) < \text{Im}(z_2)$
- ii. $\text{Re}(z_1) < \text{Re}(z_2)$, $\text{Im}(z_1) = \text{Im}(z_2)$
- iii. $\text{Re}(z_1) < \text{Re}(z_2)$, $\text{Im}(z_1) < \text{Im}(z_2)$
- iv. $\text{Re}(z_1) = \text{Re}(z_2)$, $\text{Im}(z_1) = \text{Im}(z_2)$

In particular, we will write $z_1 \not\preceq z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one the above conditions is not satisfied and we will write $z_1 < z_2$ if only iii is satisfied. Note that

$$0 \preceq z_1 \not\preceq z_2 \Rightarrow |z_1| < |z_2|, z_1 \preceq z_2, z_1 < z_2 \Rightarrow z_1 < z_3$$

Definition 1 Let X be a nonempty set. A mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued metric on X if the following conditions are satisfied:

(CM1) $0 \preceq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$.

(CM2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$

(CM3) $d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

In this case, we say that (X, d) is a complex valued metric space.

It is obvious that this concept is generalization of the classic metric. In fact, if $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies (CM1)-(CM3), then this d is a metric in the classical sense, that is, the following conditions are satisfied:

(M1) $0 \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$.

(M2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$

(M3) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

There are so many more different and interesting type of metric spaces and classical theories of metric space for example see [3, 4].

Definition 2 Let \mathbb{C} be a complex valued metric space,

- We say that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 < c$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < c$.
- We say that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to an element $x \in X$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$, with $0 < c$ there exist an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$ such that $d(x_n, x) < c$ and we write $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$.
- We say that (x, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X .

The following fact is summarized from Azam, Fisher and Khan's paper [2]. In fact, (b and c of proposition 1.3 are their lemmas 2 and 3.

Proposition 3

Let (X, d) be a complex value metric space. Suppose that $d = d_1 + id_2$ where $d_1, d_2: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

That is, $d_1 = \text{Re}(d)$ and $d_2 = \text{Im}(d)$. then the following assertions hold.

- $|d| = (d_1^2 + d_2^2)^{1/2} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is a (classical) metric on X .
- If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then $x_n \rightarrow x$ iff $x_n \xrightarrow{|d|} x$.
- (X, d) is complete if and only if $(X, |d|)$ is complete.

The following common fixed point theorem was also proved by Azam, Fisher and Khan. This can be viewed as a generalization of the well-known Banach fixed Point theorem.

Theorem 4

([2]) Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued metric space, and λ, μ be non-negative real numbers such that $\lambda + \mu < 1$. Suppose that $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ are mappings satisfying

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y) + \frac{\mu d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)}$$

then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

As rouzkard and imdad [5] extended and improved the common fixed point theorems which are more general than thaAzam et al.[2] In this paper, we continue the study of comma fixed point theorems and obtain the generalized result proved by sintunavarat and kumam[6] and sitthikul and saejung FTP and applications 2012[7]

III. MAIN RESULT

Lemma 5. let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and $f, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ have a unique point of coincidence v in X . if (S, f) and (T, f) are weakly compatible, then S, T, f have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 6. Let (x, d) be a complex value of matrix space & $f, S, T : X \rightarrow X$ suppose there exists mappings $g_1, g_2 : X \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that $\forall x, y \in X$

- $g_1(Sx) \leq g_1(fx)$ and $g_1(Tx) \leq g_1(fx)$
- $g_1(fx) + g_2(fx) + g_3(fx) + g_4(fx) < 1$
- $d(Sx, Ty) < \frac{g_1(fx)d(fx, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} + \frac{g_2(Sx)d(Sx, Sy) + g_3(fx)d(fx, Sx)d(fy, Ty)}{1 + d(fx, fy)}$

$$\frac{g_4(Sx)d(Sx, Tx)d(Sy, Ty)}{1 + d(Sx, Sy)}$$

If $S(x) \cup T(x) \subseteq f(x)$ and $f(x)$ is complete, then S and T have a unique fixed point of coincidence. Moreover, if (S, f) and (T, f) are weakly compatible, then f, S, T have a unique fixed point in X .

Proof:

Let $x_0 \in X$. Choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $Sx_0 = fx_1$ and $Sx_1 = Tx_0$

& $fx_2 = Tx_1$ and $Sx_2 = Tx_1$

Continuing this way we can construct a seqⁿ $\{fx_n\}$ in $f(x)$ such that,

$$fx_n = Sx_{n-1} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd} \\ = Tx_{n-1} \text{ if } n \text{ is even}$$

$$Sx_n = fx_{n-1} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd} \\ Tx_{n-1} \text{ if } n \text{ is even}$$

If n is odd, Then by Hypothesis,

$$d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) = d(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$$

$$d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) = d(fx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$$

since,

$$Sx_0 = fx_1 \text{ and } Sx_1 = Tx_0$$

$$\& fx_2 = Tx_1 \text{ and } Sx_2 = Tx_1$$

Since,

$$d(Sx, Ty) < \frac{g_1(fx)d(fx, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} + \frac{g_2(Sx)d(Sx, Sy) + g_3(fx)d(fx, Sx)d(fy, Ty) + g_4(Sx)d(Sx, Tx)d(Sy, Ty)}{1 + d(Sx, Sy)}$$

$$d(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq g_1(fx_{n-1})d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) + g_2(Sx_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n) +$$

$$\frac{g_3((fx_{n-1})d(fx_{n-1}, Sx_{n-1})d(fx_n, Tx_n))}{1 + d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)} +$$

$$\frac{g_4(Sx_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(Sx_n, Tx_n)}{1 + d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n)}$$

$$\leq g_1(fx_{n-1})d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) + g_2(Sx_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n) +$$

$$\frac{g_3((fx_{n-1})d(fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1})d(fx_n, fx_n))}{1 + d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)}$$

$$+ \frac{g_4((Sx_{n-1})d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_{n-1})d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}))}{1 + d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n)}$$

$$\therefore d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \leq g_1(fx_{n-1})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + g_3(fx_{n-1})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

$$+ \frac{|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)|}{1 + d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)}$$

$$+ g_2(Sx_{n-1})|d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n)|$$

$$+ g_4(Sx_{n-1})|d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})| \frac{d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n)}{1 + d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n)}$$

$$\leq g_1(fx_{n-1})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + g_3(fx_{n-1})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

$$+ g_2(Sx_{n-1})|d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n)| + g_4(Sx_{n-1})|d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})|$$

$$= g_1(Tx_{n-2})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + g_3(Tx_{n-2})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

$$+ g_2(Tx_{n-2})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

$$+ g_4(Tx_{n-2})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)|$$

$$= (g_1 + g_4)(Tx_{n-2})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2 + g_3)(Tx_{n-2})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

$$\leq (g_1 + g_4)(fx_{n-2})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2 + g_3)(Tx_{n-2}) * |d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

$$= (g_1 + g_4)(Sx_{n-3})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2 + g_3)(Sx_{n-3}) * |d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

$$\leq (g_1 + g_4)(fx_{n-3})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2 + g_3)(fx_{n-3})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

$$= (g_1 + g_4)(Tx_{n-4})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2 + g_3)(Tx_{n-4}) * |d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

$$\leq (g_1 + g_4)(fx_{n-4})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2 + g_3)(fx_{n-4}) * |d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

$$= (g_1 + g_4)(Sx_{n-5})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2 + g_3)(fx_{n-4}) * |d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

.

.

.

$$\leq (g_1 + g_4)(fx_0)|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2 + g_3)(fx_0) * |d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|$$

Which implies that,

$$|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \leq \frac{(g_1 + g_4)(fx)d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)}{1 + (g_2 + g_3)(fx)}$$

If n is even,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Sx_n) = d(Sx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \\
 d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, fx_n) = d(fx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \\
 d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) &= d(x_{n-1}, Sx_n) = d(Sx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq g_1(fx_n)d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) + g_2(Sx_n)d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}) \\
 &+ g_3(fx_n)d(fx_n, Sx_n)d(fx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) / 1+d(fx_n, fx_{n-1}) \\
 &+ g_4(Sx_n)d(Sx_n, Tx_n)d(Sx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) / 1+d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}) \\
 \text{Therefore,} \\
 |d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| &\leq g_1(fx_n)|d(fx_n, fx_{n-1})| + g_2(Sx_n)|d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1})| \\
 &+ g_3(fx_n)d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|d(fx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) / 1+d(fx_n, fx_{n-1})| \\
 &+ g_4(Sx_n)|d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})|d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1}) / 1+d(Sx_{n-1}, Sx_n)| \\
 &\leq g_1(fx_n)|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + g_3(fx_n)|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \\
 &+ g_2(Sx_n)|d(Sx_n, Sx_{n-1})| + g_4(Sx_n)|d(Sx_n, Sx_{n+1})| \\
 &= g_1(Tx_n)|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + g_3(Tx_n)|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \\
 &+ g_2(Tx_n)|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| + g_4(Tx_n)|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \\
 &= (g_1+g_4)(Tx_n)|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2+g_3)(Tx_n)|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \\
 &\leq (g_1+g_4)(fx_{n-1})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2+g_3)(fx_{n-1})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \\
 &= (g_1+g_4)(Sx_n)|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2+g_3)(Sx_{n-2})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \\
 &\leq (g_1+g_4)(fx_{n-2})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2+g_3)(fx_{n-2})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \\
 &= (g_1+g_4)(Tx_{n-3})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2+g_3)(Tx_{n-3})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \\
 &\leq (g_1+g_4)(fx_{n-3})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2+g_3)(fx_{n-3})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \\
 &\leq (g_1+g_4)(Sx_{n-4})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2+g_3)(fx_{n-4})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \\
 &\dots \\
 &\dots \\
 &\dots \\
 &\dots \\
 &\leq (g_1+g_4)(fx_{n_0})|d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| + (g_2+g_3)(fx_{n_0})|d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})|
 \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$(1) |d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| \leq \frac{(g_1+g_4)(fx_n)d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)}{1+(g_2+g_3)(fx_n)}$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{(g_1+g_4)(fx_n)d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)}{1+(g_2+g_3)(fx_n)}$

By repeating application of (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 |d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| &\leq \alpha |d(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)| \\
 &\leq \alpha^2 |d(fx_{n-2}, fx_{n-1})| \\
 &\leq \alpha^3 |d(fx_{n-3}, fx_{n-2})| \\
 &\leq \alpha^4 |d(fx_{n-4}, fx_{n-3})| \\
 &\leq \alpha^5 |d(fx_{n-5}, fx_{n-4})| \\
 &\dots \\
 &\dots \\
 &\dots \\
 &\leq \alpha^n |d(fx_0, fx_1)|
 \end{aligned}$$

For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, m > n$,

$$d(fx_n, fx_m) \leq |d(fx_n, fx_{n+1})| + |d(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+2})| + |d(fx_{n+2}, fx_{n+3})| + \dots + |d(fx_{m-1}, fx_m)|$$

hence,

$$\leq (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1} + \alpha^{n+2} + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}) |d(fx_0, fx_1)|$$

Since

$$\alpha \in [0, 1), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{we have } |d(fx_0, fx_1)| \text{ approaches to zero.}$$

which imply that fx_n is a Cauchy sequence, by completeness of fx , there exist $u, v \in X$ such that $fx_n \rightarrow v = fu$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(fu, Tu) &\leq d(fu, fx_{2n+1}) + d(fx_{2n+1}, Tu) \\
 &= d(fu, fx_{2n+1}) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tu) \\
 &\leq d(fu, fx_{2n+1}) + g_1(fx_{2n+1})d(fx_{2n}, fu) + g_2(Sx_{2n})d(Sx_{2n}, Su) + \\
 &\frac{g_3(fx_{2n})d(fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})d(fu, Tu)}{1+d(fx_{2n}, fu)} + \frac{g_4(Sx_{2n})d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n})d(Su, Tu)}{1+d(Sx_{2n}, Su)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |d(fu, Tu)| &\leq |d(fu, fx_{2n+1})| + g_1(fx_{2n+1})|d(fx_{2n}, fu)| + \frac{g_2(Sx_{2n})|d(Sx_{2n}, Su)|}{1+d(fx_{2n}, fu)} + \\
 &\frac{g_3(fx_{2n})|d(fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})|d(fu, Tu)}{1+d(fx_{2n}, fu)} + \frac{g_4(Sx_{2n})|d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n})|d(Su, Tu)}{1+d(Sx_{2n}, Su)} \\
 &\leq |d(fu, fx_{2n+1})| + g_1(fx_{2n+1})|d(fx_{2n}, fu)| + \frac{g_2(Sx_{2n})|d(Sx_{2n}, Su)|}{1} + \\
 &\frac{g_3(fx_{2n})|d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n})|d(Su, Tu)}{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &\leq 1 + d(fx_{2n}, fu) \\
 1 &\leq 1 + d(Sx_{2n}, Su) \\
 &\leq |d(fu, fx_{2n+1})| + g_1(fx_{2n+1})|d(fx_{2n}, fu)| + g_2(Sx_{2n})|d(Sx_{2n}, Su)| + \\
 &g_3(fx_{2n})|d(fx_{2n}, Sx_{2n})|d(fu, Tu) + g_4(Sx_{2n})|d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n})|d(Su, Tu)
 \end{aligned}$$

If $n \rightarrow \infty, |d(fu, Tu)| \rightarrow 0$, hence $d(fu, Tu) \rightarrow 0$

Implies, $fu = tu = v$, similarly $fu = su = v$

$Su = Tu$

Thus, $fu = Su = Tu = v$ and v become a common fixed point of f, S and T .

Uniqueness, Let there exist $w (\neq v) \in X$ such that $fx = Sx = Tx = w$ for some $x \in X$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(v, w) &= d(Su, Tx) \\
 &\leq g_1(fu)d(fu, fx) + g_2(Su)d(Su, Sx) + \\
 &\frac{g_3(fu)d(fu, Su)d(fx, Tx)}{1+d(fu, fx)} + \frac{g_4(fu)d(fu, Tu)d(fx, Sx)}{1+d(Su, Sx)} \\
 &\leq g_1(v)d(v, w) + g_2(v)d(v, w) + \frac{g_3(v)d(v, v)d(w, w)}{1+d(v, w)} + \\
 &\frac{g_4(v)d(v, v)d(w, w)}{1+d(w, w)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= g_1(v)d(v, w)$$

Implies

$$|d(v, w)| \leq g_1(v)d(v, w)$$

Since, $g_1 \in [0, 1)$

$$|d(v, w)| \rightarrow 0$$

So, $v = w$. if (S, f) and (T, f) are weakly compatible, then by lemma (3.1), f, S, T have a unique common fixed point in X

Corollary 7 .

Let (x, d) be a complex valued of matrix space & $f, T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\forall x, y \in X$

$$d(Tx, Ty) < \lambda d(fx, fy) + \frac{\mu d(fx, Tx)d(fy, Ty)}{1+d(fx, fy)} + \frac{\gamma d(Tx, Ty)d(fy, fy)}{1+d(Tx, Ty)}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where μ, λ are non-negative real numbers with $\mu + \lambda < 1$. If $T(x) \subseteq f(x)$ and $f(x)$ is complete, then f and T have a unique pint of coincidence. Moreover, if f and T are weakly compatible, then f and T have common fixed point in X .

proof. We can prove this result by setting $S = T, g_1(x) = \lambda, g_2(x) = \mu$ in theorem 6.

IV. REFERENCES

- [1]. Banach,S:Sur les operations dans les emsemblesabstracts et leur applications aux equations integrals. Fund Math. 3,133-181(1922)
- [2]. Azam,a,Fisher,B,khan,M.khan:common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric space,numer. Funct.Anal. Optim.32(3),243-253(2011),243-253
- [3]. Suzuki,t;A new type of fixed point theorem in metric space, Nonlinear anal.71,5313-5317(2009)
- [4]. Suzuki,T: generalized distance and existence theorems in complete metric space J.Math.Anal.appl.253,440-458(2001)
- [5]. Rouzkard,f,Imdad,M:Somecommon fixed point theorems on complex valued metric space.comput.math.Appl.(2012).doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2012.02.063
- [6]. Sintunavarat.w,kumam,P. Generalized common fixed point theoremsin complex valued maetric spaces and applications.J.inequal.Appl.2012,84(2012).doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-84
- [7]. Sitthikul and Saejung Fixed point theory and applications 2010,1012:189 <http://www.fixedpointtheoryandapplications.com/content/2012/1/1/189>