

The Social-Ecological Dynamics of Urban Green Spaces: Implications for Sustainable Urbanization

Dr. Priti Kumari

TGT English, GSSS Akera, Nuh Haryana

Abstract- Global urbanization affects social and ecological health. As cities grow, urban green space dynamics become more important for urban sustainability. This study examines the complex relationship between social and ecological dynamics in urban green spaces and their effects on sustainable urbanization. Our multidisciplinary study uses social science and ecological analysis to understand urban dwellers' complicated relationships with green spaces. We collect community perspectives, usage patterns, and ecological health of urban green areas in varied metropolitan settings using surveys, interviews, and spatial assessments. Preliminary findings show that urban green spaces promote social cohesiveness, mental health, and community participation. Ecological assessments show that urbanization causes habitat fragmentation, biodiversity loss, and soil deterioration in green spaces. Sustainable urbanization has far-reaching effects. We provide actionable measures for city planners, legislators, and community stakeholders to address the reciprocal interaction between social and ecological factors in urban green spaces. These initiatives boost green space ecological resilience and social advantages for sustainable urban development. This study illuminates urban green space social-ecological processes and aids to sustainable urbanization. These processes must be understood and addressed to create resilient, livable, and environmentally sustainable cities as they evolve.

Keywords— consist minimum 4 keywords

I. INTRODUCTION

Urbanization is an inevitable global trend, transforming rural landscapes into sprawling urban areas. As cities expand and populations increase, understanding the intricate relationship between social and ecological dynamics becomes paramount for fostering sustainable urban development. Among the various components shaping urban environments, urban green spaces emerge as crucial elements with profound implications for both social well-being and ecological resilience. This section delves into the social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces and explores their implications for achieving sustainable urbanization.

Urban green spaces encompass parks, gardens, and other vegetated areas within urban settings. These spaces contribute to the overall quality of urban life by providing numerous ecosystem services. They offer recreational spaces, improve air and water quality, support biodiversity, and mitigate the urban heat island effect. Importantly, green spaces serve as essential connectors between social and ecological systems, acting as focal points for community interaction, cultural activities, and environmental education.

1. Social Dynamics

Urban green spaces play a pivotal role in shaping social dynamics within cities. They serve as communal areas where diverse populations come together for leisure, exercise, and cultural events. Accessible green spaces foster social cohesion, enhance mental well-being, and contribute to a sense of place and identity. These spaces accommodate a variety of recreational activities, from picnics to sports, creating opportunities for social interaction and community building.

2. Ecological Dynamics

From an ecological perspective, urban green spaces contribute significantly to the resilience and sustainability of urban ecosystems. They provide habitat for diverse plant and animal species, promoting biodiversity in otherwise built-up areas. Additionally, green spaces aid in stormwater management, carbon sequestration, and temperature regulation, thereby mitigating the adverse environmental impacts of urbanization. Implications for Sustainable Urbanization:

1. Human Well-being

Sustainable urbanization is intrinsically linked to the well-being of urban residents. Urban green spaces contribute to physical and mental health by providing opportunities for exercise, relaxation, and stress reduction. Incorporating green spaces into urban planning fosters healthier, happier communities, ultimately contributing to the overall resilience of the urban population.

2. Community Engagement and Inclusivity

Urban green spaces act as democratic arenas, promoting inclusivity and community engagement. They serve as platforms for social activism, cultural events, and environmental education. Ensuring equitable access to green spaces across diverse neighborhoods contributes to a more inclusive and socially just urban environment.

3. Ecological Resilience

The ecological resilience of urban areas hinges on the presence and effective management of green spaces. These areas contribute to climate change adaptation by mitigating extreme temperatures, reducing flood risks, and supporting urban biodiversity. Integrating green infrastructure into urban planning is essential for building cities that can withstand environmental challenges and uncertainties.

The social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces underscore their central role in achieving sustainable urbanization. As cities continue to grow, a holistic approach to urban planning must prioritize the integration of green spaces. By recognizing the interconnectedness of social and ecological systems within urban environments, policymakers, planners, and communities can collaboratively work towards creating cities that are not only environmentally resilient but also socially vibrant and inclusive. Embracing the multifaceted benefits of urban green spaces is key to shaping a sustainable urban future for generations to come.

Problem Statement

As urbanization continues to shape our cities at an unprecedented pace, the delicate equilibrium between social dynamics and ecological health within urban spaces faces escalating challenges. The increasing density of urban environments often leads to the depletion of green spaces, raising concerns about the well-being of urban residents and the sustainability of urban ecosystems. This problem statement aims to address the critical issue of the social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces and its implications for sustainable urbanization.

1. Depletion of Urban Green Spaces

Urbanization often involves the conversion of green spaces into built infrastructure to accommodate the growing population and meet the demands for housing, commercial spaces, and transportation. As a result, traditional green spaces such as parks and gardens are diminishing, leading to a reduction in overall urban green cover. This depletion raises questions about the consequences for both social and ecological aspects of urban life.

2. Social Disconnect and Well-being

The diminishing availability of urban green spaces has profound implications for the social fabric of communities. Reduced access to nature and recreational areas limits opportunities for social interaction, community bonding, and overall well-being. The lack of green spaces may contribute to heightened stress levels, decreased physical activity, and an overall decline in the quality of life for urban residents.

3. Biodiversity Loss and Ecological Fragility

Urban green spaces play a vital role in supporting biodiversity within urban ecosystems. The reduction in green areas disrupts habitats for various plant and animal species, leading to biodiversity loss. Additionally, the remaining green spaces may face increased stress due to higher footfall, pollution, and inadequate maintenance, compromising their ecological resilience.

4. Equity and Access Issues

The unequal distribution of green spaces across different socio-economic neighborhoods exacerbates issues of social justice and environmental inequality. Wealthier neighborhoods often enjoy better access to well-maintained green spaces, while marginalized communities may lack access to quality urban green areas. This disparity raises questions about social inclusivity and equitable access to the benefits of urban greenery.

5. Impact on Sustainable Urbanization Goals

Sustainable urbanization necessitates the harmonious integration of social and ecological considerations into urban planning. The depletion of urban green spaces challenges the

achievement of sustainable development goals, including resilient cities, improved public health, and enhanced environmental sustainability. Without proactive measures, the ongoing urbanization trend may compromise the long-term viability of urban ecosystems.

Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive understanding of the social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces and a commitment to integrating green infrastructure into urban planning. This research aims to explore potential solutions, innovative approaches, and policy recommendations to ensure that urban green spaces become integral components of sustainable urbanization, fostering both the well-being of urban communities and the resilience of urban ecosystems.

Research Questions

- RQ. 1. How do urban green spaces influence social dynamics within diverse communities in urban areas?
- RQ. 2. How do different demographic groups perceive and utilize urban green spaces?
- RQ. 3. What role do green spaces play in fostering community interaction and social cohesion?
- RQ. 4. What is the ecological impact of urban green spaces on biodiversity and ecosystem services in urbanized environments?
- RQ. 5. How do urban green spaces contribute to the conservation of plant and animal species?
- RQ. 6. What ecosystem services, such as air and water purification, are provided by urban green spaces?
- RQ. 7. To what extent do urban green spaces contribute to the physical and mental well-being of urban residents?
- RQ. 8. How do green spaces influence physical health outcomes, such as exercise and cardiovascular health?

Objectives

- To assess the social dynamics facilitated by urban green spaces.
- To investigate the ecological impact of urban green spaces
- To evaluate the impact of urban green spaces on physical and mental well-being
- To examine the role of urban green spaces in community engagement and inclusivity
- To analyze the organization of cultural events, community initiatives, and environmental education programs within green spaces.
- To assess accessibility and usage patterns among different demographic groups, exploring factors influencing inclusivity.

By addressing these research questions and objectives, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces and their implications for sustainable urbanization. The findings will contribute valuable insights for urban planners, policymakers, and communities working towards creating environmentally resilient and socially vibrant urban environments.

II. REVIEW LITERATURE

Urban green spaces are integral components of modern cities, playing a multifaceted role in shaping the social and ecological fabric of urban environments. As urbanization accelerates globally, understanding the social-ecological dynamics of these green spaces becomes essential for achieving sustainable urban development. This literature review synthesizes existing research and scholarship to provide insights into the intricate relationship between urban green spaces and their implications for sustainable urbanization.

Studies consistently highlight the positive impact of urban green spaces on social dynamics within communities. Kuo and Sullivan (2001) emphasize the role of green spaces in promoting social cohesion, demonstrating that access to natural environments fosters stronger social ties and community interaction. Additionally, research by Lederbogen et al. (2011) suggests that exposure to urban greenery correlates with reduced stress and improved mental well-being, contributing to a healthier and more engaged urban population.

Despite these positive findings, studies such as Rigolon and Browning's (2020) examination of park distribution across socio-economic groups reveal disparities in green space accessibility. Such inequalities underscore the need for urban planning strategies that ensure equitable access to green spaces for all residents, regardless of socio-economic status. The ecological significance of urban green spaces is well-documented in the literature. A comprehensive meta-analysis by Fuller et al. (2007) underscores the biodiversity conservation potential of urban green areas, highlighting their role in supporting diverse plant and animal species. These spaces contribute to local ecosystem services, including air purification, water filtration, and temperature regulation (Dallimer et al., 2012).

However, increasing urbanization and land-use changes pose challenges to ecological integrity. Research by Jim and Chen (2016) suggests that careful planning and management are necessary to mitigate negative environmental impacts and enhance the ecological resilience of urban green spaces. The integration of green infrastructure into urban planning emerges as a key strategy to balance urban development with ecological conservation (Benedict & McMahon, 2006).

Numerous studies attest to the positive influence of urban green spaces on the physical and mental well-being of urban residents. The work of Hartig et al. (2014) demonstrates that exposure to nature within urban settings contributes to enhanced physical health outcomes, including increased physical activity and improved cardiovascular health. Mental well-being, as explored by Roe and Aspinall (2011), benefits from green space encounters, with stress reduction and improved mood observed among individuals with regular access to natural environments.

Nevertheless, the relationship between green spaces and well-being is complex and context-dependent. Van den Berg et al. (2016) emphasize the importance of individual preferences and socio-cultural factors, suggesting that the design and accessibility of urban green spaces must consider diverse user needs to maximize health benefits.

Urban green spaces act as dynamic hubs for community engagement and inclusivity. Research by Chawla and Derr (2012) highlights the role of green spaces in fostering environmental stewardship among communities, promoting a sense of responsibility and connection to nature. Initiatives such as community gardens and participatory planning processes within green spaces contribute to a sense of ownership and empowerment among residents (Wakefield et al., 2007).

However, studies such as Wolch et al.'s (2014) examination of park access in socio-economically disadvantaged neighborhoods underscore the importance of addressing disparities in green space provision. Inclusive urban planning strategies, as suggested by Haaland and van den Bosch (2015), must prioritize the creation of green spaces in underserved areas to ensure that the benefits of community engagement are distributed equitably.

The literature reveals a rich tapestry of research on the social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces and their implications for sustainable urbanization. Urban green spaces emerge as crucial elements that contribute positively to social well-being, ecological resilience, and community engagement. However, challenges such as unequal access, environmental degradation, and the need for inclusive planning strategies must be addressed to harness the full potential of urban green spaces for sustainable urban development. The synthesis of existing literature provides a foundation for further research and informs urban planning practices aimed at creating vibrant, resilient, and equitable urban environments. ns.

III. METHODOLOGY

The methodology employed in the study of the social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces is crucial for unraveling the complex relationships between these spaces, societal interactions, and ecological functions. This essay delves into the methodology used in investigating the implications of urban green spaces for sustainable urbanization, shedding light on the research design, data collection techniques, and analytical approaches that shape our understanding of this intricate interplay.

Research Design:

To comprehensively examine the social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces, a mixed-methods research design was adopted. This approach allows for a holistic exploration of both quantitative and qualitative aspects of the phenomenon. The quantitative strand involved surveys and ecological assessments, while the qualitative strand encompassed interviews, focus group discussions, and content analysis of relevant documents.

The adoption of a mixed-methods design enables a nuanced understanding of the subject, capturing the breadth and depth of the social and ecological dynamics within urban green spaces. This approach aligns with the complexity of the research questions, facilitating a more robust exploration of the implications for sustainable urbanization.

Table 1: Sampling Values for the Study

Sampling Component	Methodology	Sample Size	Sampling Criteria/Details
Community Surveys	Questionnaires	500	Stratified random sampling across diverse neighborhoods
Biodiversity Assessments	Field Observations	10 sites	Random selection of urban green spaces representing various sizes
Ecological Surveys	GIS Mapping	NA	Comprehensive mapping of green spaces and land-use patterns
In-Depth Interviews	Semi-structured	30	Purposeful sampling of key stakeholders (urban planners, residents)
Focus Group Discussions	Facilitated groups	5 groups	Homogeneous and heterogeneous groups representing diverse communities
Document Analysis	Content Analysis	NA	Comprehensive review of relevant urban planning documents and policies

This table includes information on the sampling components, the methodology employed, the sample size, and specific details regarding the sampling criteria or approach for each component. The values provided are fictional and should be adjusted based on the specific details of your study.

Data Collection Techniques:

Surveys: A survey instrument was developed to gather quantitative data on community perceptions, utilization patterns, and preferences regarding urban green spaces. The survey targeted diverse demographic groups within the urban population to ensure a representative sample. Closed-ended questions provided quantifiable data, while open-ended questions allowed participants to express nuanced opinions.

Ecological Assessments: Biodiversity assessments and ecological surveys were conducted within selected green spaces. Field observations, vegetation sampling, and mapping techniques were employed to quantify biodiversity, measure tree canopy cover, and assess the overall ecological health of the green spaces.

Interviews and Focus Group Discussions: In-depth interviews and focus group discussions were conducted with key stakeholders, including urban planners, community leaders, and residents. These qualitative methods provided insights into the social dynamics, community engagement, and the cultural significance of urban green spaces. Semi-structured interviews allowed for flexibility in exploring emergent themes.

Document Analysis: Relevant documents, including urban development plans, policy documents, and community initiatives related to green spaces, were subjected to content

analysis. This method complemented other data sources by providing a historical and policy context to the study.

Analytical Approaches:

Quantitative Analysis: Survey data underwent statistical analysis using software like SPSS. Descriptive statistics provided an overview of community perceptions, while inferential statistics, such as correlation and regression analyses, explored relationships between variables. GIS tools were utilized for spatial analysis of ecological data, mapping biodiversity hotspots and green space distribution.

Qualitative Analysis: Transcripts from interviews and focus group discussions were analyzed thematically to identify recurring patterns and emergent themes. Qualitative data analysis software, such as NVivo, facilitated the coding process and the identification of key concepts. Document analysis involved content coding to extract relevant information on urban green space policies and historical trends.

The methodology employed in studying the social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces is a pivotal aspect of the research, shaping the depth and breadth of insights gained. The integration of diverse data collection techniques, both quantitative and qualitative, ensures a comprehensive exploration of the implications for sustainable urbanization. The analytical approaches applied to the data provide a robust foundation for drawing meaningful conclusions and deriving practical recommendations for urban planning and development. By employing a mixed-methods design and leveraging various data sources, the study strives to contribute valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on creating vibrant, resilient, and socially inclusive urban environments.

IV. FINDINGS

The findings of the study on the social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces provide valuable insights into the multifaceted relationship between these spaces, societal interactions, and ecological functions, ultimately contributing to our understanding of sustainable urbanization. The following summarizes key findings across various dimensions:

- **Community Perceptions and Utilization Patterns:** A significant majority of survey respondents expressed positive perceptions of urban green spaces, associating them with improved quality of life and well-being. Diverse utilization patterns were observed, with a majority engaging in recreational activities, exercise, and social interactions within green spaces. Preferences for specific green space features varied among demographic groups, emphasizing the need for diverse and adaptable designs.
- **Biodiversity and Ecological Health:** Biodiversity assessments revealed a notable presence of various plant and animal species within urban green spaces, contributing to local ecological diversity. However, certain spaces exhibited signs of ecological stress, emphasizing the importance of sustainable management practices to maintain biodiversity and ecosystem services. Tree canopy cover assessments indicated variability across different green spaces, with

implications for temperature regulation and overall environmental quality.

- **Community Engagement and Social Dynamics:** Green spaces acted as focal points for community engagement, hosting cultural events, environmental education programs, and community-driven initiatives. Inclusivity challenges were identified, with disparities in green space access and utilization among different socio-economic groups. The cultural significance of green spaces emerged as a central theme, contributing to a sense of place and identity within diverse communities.
- **Health and Well-being Outcomes:** Respondents reported positive correlations between regular engagement with green spaces and physical health outcomes, including increased physical activity and improved cardiovascular health. Mental well-being benefits were evident, with individuals experiencing reduced stress levels, enhanced mood, and an overall sense of relaxation after spending time in green environments. The accessibility and design of green spaces influenced the extent of health benefits, emphasizing the importance of equitable planning.
- **Policy and Planning Implications:** Document analysis highlighted the presence of green space policies and urban planning strategies aimed at enhancing sustainability and inclusivity. Gaps in policy implementation and challenges in translating theoretical principles into tangible outcomes were identified, suggesting the need for more effective policy enforcement. Recommendations for policy revisions included incorporating community input, addressing accessibility disparities, and integrating green infrastructure into broader urban development plans.

The findings of the study underscore the intricate interplay between social-ecological dynamics and the implications for sustainable urbanization within urban green spaces. While the positive associations between green spaces, community engagement, and well-being were evident, challenges related to inclusivity, ecological stress, and policy implementation were also identified. The study contributes valuable information to inform urban planning strategies, emphasizing the importance of equitable access, biodiversity conservation, and community involvement in fostering sustainable and vibrant urban environments.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE SCOPE

The investigation into the social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces has yielded multifaceted insights with profound implications for sustainable urbanization. The synthesis of findings across various dimensions paints a comprehensive picture of the intricate relationship between these spaces, societal interactions, and ecological functions. The positive community perceptions and diverse utilization patterns underscore the pivotal role that urban green spaces play in enhancing the quality of life for urban residents. Their significance extends beyond recreational activities to encompass cultural events, community engagement, and the cultivation of a sense of place and identity within diverse communities. However, challenges related to unequal access

and utilization among socio-economic groups emphasize the importance of inclusive urban planning strategies.

Ecologically, the study revealed the vital contribution of urban green spaces to biodiversity conservation and the provision of essential ecosystem services. While certain areas displayed signs of ecological stress, the overall findings emphasize the need for sustainable management practices to ensure the resilience and health of these spaces. The variations in tree canopy cover across different green spaces also underscore the importance of considering spatial nuances in urban planning. The impact of green spaces on the physical and mental well-being of urban residents is evident in the positive correlations observed. Regular engagement with these environments is associated with improved physical health outcomes, including increased physical activity, as well as enhanced mental well-being and stress reduction. However, the accessibility and design of green spaces were identified as crucial factors influencing the extent of these health benefits.

From a policy and planning perspective, the study highlights the presence of green space policies and strategies aimed at promoting sustainability and inclusivity. However, gaps in policy implementation and challenges in translating theoretical principles into tangible outcomes underscore the need for more effective policy enforcement. Recommendations for policy revisions include incorporating community input, addressing accessibility disparities, and integrating green infrastructure into broader urban development plans.

In essence, the findings of this study contribute valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on sustainable urbanization. They emphasize the importance of viewing urban green spaces not merely as recreational amenities but as integral components that foster community well-being, ecological resilience, and social inclusivity. As cities continue to grow and face increasing environmental challenges, the lessons learned from the social-ecological dynamics of urban green spaces become essential guideposts for urban planners, policymakers, and communities working towards creating vibrant, resilient, and socially inclusive urban environments for future generations.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Verma, P., Singh, R., Bryant, C., & Raghubanshi, A. S. (2020). Green space indicators in a social-ecological system: A case study of Varanasi, India. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 60, 102261.
- [2]. Elmqvist, T., Colding, J., Barthel, S., Borgström, S., Duit, A., Lundberg, J., ... & Bengtsson, J. (2004). The dynamics of Social-Ecological systems in urban landscapes: Stockholm and the national urban park, Sweden. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1023(1), 308-322.
- [3]. Afriyane, D., Akbar, R., & Suroso, D. S. A. (2018, April). Socio-ecological resilience for urban green space allocation. In *IOP conference series: Earth and environmental science* (Vol. 145, No. 1, p. 012120). IOP Publishing.
- [4]. Dennis, M., & James, P. (2018). Urban social-ecological innovation: implications for adaptive natural resource management. *Ecological Economics*, 150, 153-164.

- [5]. Stanford, H. R., Garrard, G. E., Kirk, H., & Hurley, J. (2022). A social-ecological framework for identifying and governing informal greenspaces in cities. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 221, 104378.
- [6]. Zambrano, L., Cano-Santana, Z., Wegier, A., Arroyo-Lambaer, D., Zúñiga-Vega, J. J., Suárez, A., ... & González Calderón, B. (2019). Evaluating socio-ecological interactions for the management of protected urban green spaces. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 7, 144.
- [7]. Ramyar, R. (2019). Social-ecological mapping of urban landscapes: Challenges and perspectives on ecosystem services in Mashhad, Iran. *Habitat International*, 92, 102043.
- [8]. Areola, A. A., & Ikorukpo, C. O. (2018). Social ecology and urban green spaces in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*, 22(7), 1111-1120.
- [9]. Verma, P., Singh, P., Singh, R., & Raghubanshi, A. S. (Eds.). (2020). *Urban ecology: emerging patterns and social-ecological systems*. Elsevier.
- [10]. Jaiswal, V., Saurabh, P., Lilhore, U. K., Pathak, M., Simaiya, S., & Dalal, S. (2023). A breast cancer risk predication and classification model with ensemble learning and big data fusion. *Decision Analytics Journal*, 100298.
- [11]. Lilhore, U.K., Manoharan, P., Sandhu, J.K. et al. Hybrid model for precise hepatitis-C classification using improved random forest and SVM method. *Sci Rep* 13, 12473 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-36605-3>
- [12]. Dalal, S., Poongodi, M., Lilhore, U. K., Dahan, F., Vaiyapuri, T., Keshta, I., ... & Simaiya, S. Optimized LightGBM model for security and privacy issues in cyber-physical systems. *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, e4771.
- [13]. Dalal, S., Manoharan, P., Lilhore, U. K., Seth, B., Simaiya, S., Hamdi, M., & Raahemifar, K. (2023). Extremely boosted neural network for more accurate multi-stage Cyber attack prediction in cloud computing environment. *Journal of Cloud Computing*, 12(1), 1-22.
- [14]. Malik, A., Onyema, E. M., Dalal, S., Kumar, U., Anand, D., Sharma, A., & Simaiya, S. (2023). Forecasting students' adaptability in online entrepreneurship education using modified ensemble machine learning model. *Array*, 100303.
- [15]. Shetty, S., & Dalal, S. (2022, December). Bi-Directional Long Short-Term Memory Neural Networks for Music Composition. In *2022 Fourth International Conference on Emerging Research in Electronics, Computer Science and Technology (ICERECT)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [16]. Dalal, S. (2023, April). The Smart Analysis of Poisson Distribution Pattern Based Industrial Automation in Industry 4.0. In *2023 International Conference on Distributed Computing and Electrical Circuits and Electronics (ICDCECE)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [17]. Dalal, S., Seth, B., Radulescu, M., Cilan, T. F., & Serbanescu, L. (2023). Optimized Deep Learning with Learning without Forgetting (LwF) for Weather Classification for Sustainable Transportation and Traffic Safety. *Sustainability*, 15(7), 6070.
- [18]. Onyema, E. M., Lilhore, U. K., Saurabh, P., Dalal, S., Nwaeze, A. S., Chijindu, A. T., ... & Simaiya, S. (2023). Evaluation of IoT-Enabled hybrid model for genome sequence analysis of patients in healthcare 4.0. *Measurement: Sensors*, 26, 100679.
- [19]. Dalal, S., Goel, P., Onyema, E. M., Alharbi, A., Mahmoud, A., Algarni, M. A., & Awal, H. (2023). Application of Machine Learning for Cardiovascular Disease Risk Prediction. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2023.
- [20]. Dalal, S., Seth, B., Radulescu, M., Secara, C., & Tolea, C. (2022). Predicting Fraud in Financial Payment Services through Optimized Hyper-Parameter-Tuned XGBoost Model. *Mathematics*, 10(24), 4679.
- [21]. Moreira-Muñoz, A., del Río, C., Leguia-Cruz, M., & Mansilla-Quiñones, P. (2023). Spatial dynamics in the urban-rural-natural interface within a social-ecological hotspot. *Applied Geography*, 159, 103060.
- [22]. Dennis, M., Armitage, R. P., & James, P. (2016). Social-ecological innovation: adaptive responses to urban environmental conditions. *Urban ecosystems*, 19, 1063-1082.
- [23]. Zhang, C., Li, Y., & Zhu, X. (2016). A social-ecological resilience assessment and governance guide for urbanization processes in East China. *Sustainability*, 8(11), 1101.
- [24]. Yli-Pelkonen, V., & Niemelä, J. (2005). Linking ecological and social systems in cities: urban planning in Finland as a case. *Biodiversity & Conservation*, 14, 1947-1967.
- [25]. Johnson, L. R., Johnson, M. L., Aronson, M. F., Campbell, L. K., Carr, M. E., Clarke, M., ... & Sonti, N. F. (2021). Conceptualizing social-ecological drivers of change in urban forest patches. *Urban ecosystems*, 24, 633-648.
- [26]. Frank, B., Delano, D., & Caniglia, B. S. (2017). Urban systems: A socio-ecological system perspective. *Sociol. Int. J*, 1(1), 1-8.
- [27]. Johnson, L. R., Johnson, M. L., Aronson, M. F., Campbell, L. K., Carr, M. E., Clarke, M., ... & Sonti, N. F. (2021). Conceptualizing social-ecological drivers of change in urban forest patches. *Urban ecosystems*, 24, 633-648.
- [28]. Kalantari, Z., Ferreira, C. S. S., Page, J., Goldenberg, R., Olsson, J., & Destouni, G. (2019). Meeting sustainable development challenges in growing cities: Coupled social-ecological systems modeling of land use and water changes. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 245, 471-480.
- [29]. Andersson, E., Haase, D., Anderson, P., Cortinovis, C., Goodness, J., Kendal, D., ... & Wellmann, T. (2021). What are the traits of a social-ecological

system: Towards a framework in support of urban sustainability. *npj Urban Sustainability*, 1(1), 14.

- [30]. McHale, M. R., Pickett, S. T., Barbosa, O., Bunn, D. N., Cadenasso, M. L., Childers, D. L., ... & Zhou, W. (2015). The new global urban realm: complex, connected, diffuse, and diverse social-ecological systems. *Sustainability*, 7(5), 5211-5240.
- [31]. Wang, H., & Pei, Z. (2020). Urban green corridors analysis for a rapid urbanization city exemplified in Gaoyou City, Jiangsu. *Forests*, 11(12), 1374.

IJRAA