

# Common fixed point theorem for Chatterjea-Type Contractive mapping in Complex Valued Metric Space

Arti Mishra<sup>1</sup> and Nisha Sharma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Manav Rachna International University, Faridabad, Haryana

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Pt. J.L.N Gov. College Faridabad, Haryana

**Abstract:** Study of Multivalued contraction mapping was initiated by Nadler[1] and Markin[2]. There are so many research papers in which multiple common fixed point theorem results are proved by authors[3-10]. This paper deals with some common fixed point theorems which are established for multi valued mapping in complex valued metric space as Azam et al.(numer.Funct.anal.Optim.33(5):590-600,2012) introduced the notion of complex valued metric space and proved some common fixed point theorems in the context of complex valued metric space.

**Keywords:** multi-valued mapping, common fixed point, complex valued metric space.

## I. PRELIMINARIES

Let us recall a natural relation on  $\mathbb{C}$ , for  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , define a partial order  $\preceq$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  as follows;

$$z_1 \preceq z_2 \text{ iff } \operatorname{Re}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$$

it follows that

$$z_1 \preceq z_2$$

if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- i.  $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$
- ii.  $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$
- iii.  $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$
- iv.  $\operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2)$

In particular, we will write  $z_1 \prec z_2$  if  $z_1 \neq z_2$  and one the above conditions is not satisfied and we will write  $z_1 < z_2$  if only iii is satisfied. Note that  $0 \preceq z_1 \preceq z_2 \Leftrightarrow |z_1| < |z_2|$ ,

$$z_1 \preceq z_2, z_1 < z_2 \Leftrightarrow z_1 < z_3$$

**Definition 1** let  $X$  be a nonempty set. A mapping  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a complex valued metric on  $X$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(CM1) 0 \preceq d(x,y) \text{ for all } x,y \in X \text{ and } d(x,y)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=y.$$

$$(CM2) d(x,y)=d(y,x) \text{ for all } x,y \in X$$

$$(CM3) d(x,y) \preceq d(x,z)+d(z,y) \text{ for all } x,y,z \in X.$$

In this case, we say that  $(X,d)$  is a complex valued metric space.

It is obvious that this concept is generalization of the classic metric. In fact, if  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies ((CM1)-(CM3)), then this  $d$  is a metric in the classical sense, that is, the following conditions are satisfies:

$$(M1) 0 \leq d(x,y) \text{ for all } x,y \in X \text{ and } d(x,y)=0 \Leftrightarrow x=y.$$

$$(M2) d(x,y)=d(y,x) \text{ for all } x,y \in X$$

$$(M3) d(x,y) \leq d(x,z)+d(z,y) \text{ for all } x,y,z \in X.$$

There are so many more different and interesting type of metric spaces and classical theories of metric space for example see[3,4].

**Definition 2** Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a complex valued metric space,

- We say that a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence be a sequence in  $x \in X$  If for every  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ , with  $0 < \varepsilon$  there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > n_0$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ .
- We say that a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to an element  $x$  If for every  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ , with  $0 < \varepsilon$  there exist an integer  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > n_0$  such that  $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$  and we write  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x$ .
- We say that  $(X,d)$  is complete if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  converges to a point in  $X$ .

The following fact is summarized from Azam, fisher and Khan's paper[2]. In fact, (b and c of preposition 1.3 are their lemmas 2 and 3.

## II. MAIN RESULT

Let  $(X,d)$  be a complex valued metric space.

Let family of nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of a complex valued metric space is denoted by  $CB(X)$ .

From now on, we denote  $s(z_1) = \{z_2 \in \mathbb{C} : z_1 \lesssim z_2\}$  for  $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $s(a, B) = \bigcup_{b \in B} s(d(a, b)) = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{z \in \mathbb{C} : d(a, b) \lesssim z\}$  for  $a \in X$  and  $B \in CB(X)$ .

For  $A, B \in CB(X)$ , we denote

$$s(a, b) = (\bigcup_{a \in A} s(a, B)) \cap (\bigcup_{b \in B} s(b, A)).$$

Theorem : let  $(X, d)$  be a complete complex valued metric space and let  $S, T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be multivalued mapping with greatest lower bound property such that

$$(1) \quad \frac{Ad(x, y) + Bd(x, Ty) + Cd(y, Sx) + Dd(x, Ty)d(y, Sx)}{1+d(x, y)} + \frac{Ed(x, Sx)d(x, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)} + \frac{Fd(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x, y)} \in s(Sx, Ty) \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

also,

$$(2) \quad A + B + C + 2D + 2E + 2F < 1.$$

Then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point also  $A, B, C, D, E, F$  are nonnegative with the (1) property.

Proof: let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Sx_0$ , from (1)

$$\frac{Ad(x_0, x_1) + Bd(x_0, Tx_1) + Cd(x_1, Sx_0) + Dd(x_0, Tx_1)d(x_1, Sx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Ed(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Fd(x_1, Sx_0)d(x_1, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} \in s(Sx_0, Tx_1) \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

This implies that,

$$\frac{Ad(x_0, x_1) + Bd(x_0, Tx_1) + Cd(x_1, Sx_0) + Dd(x_0, Tx_1)d(x_1, Sx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Ed(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Fd(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} \in \left( \bigcap_{x \in Sx_0} s(x, Tx_1) \right)$$

$$\frac{Ad(x_0, x_1) + Bd(x_0, Tx_1) + Cd(x_1, Sx_0) + Dd(x_0, Tx_1)d(x_1, Sx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Ed(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Fd(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} \in s(x, Tx_1) \quad \forall x \in Sx_0$$

Since  $x_1 \in Sx_0$ , such that

$$\frac{Ad(x_0, x_1) + Bd(x_0, Tx_1) + Cd(x_1, Sx_0) + Dd(x_0, Tx_1)d(x_1, Sx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Ed(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Fd(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} \in s(x, Tx_1)$$

And

$$\frac{Ad(x_0, x_1) + Bd(x_0, Tx_1) + Cd(x_1, Sx_0) + Dd(x_0, Tx_1)d(x_1, Sx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Ed(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Fd(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} \in s(x, Tx_1) = \bigcup_{x \in Tx_1} s(d(x_1, x))$$

$$\frac{Fd(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} \in s(x, Tx_1) = \bigcup_{x \in Tx_1} s(d(x_1, x))$$

So there exist some  $x_2 \in Tx_1$

such that

$$\frac{Ad(x_0, x_1) + Bd(x_0, Tx_1) + Cd(x_1, Sx_0) + Dd(x_0, Tx_1)d(x_1, Sx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Ed(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Fd(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} \in s(x_1, x_2)$$

That is,

$$d(x_1, x_2) \lesssim \frac{Ad(x_0, x_1) + Bd(x_0, Tx_1) + Cd(x_1, Sx_0) + Dd(x_0, Tx_1)d(x_1, Sx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Ed(x_0, Sx_0)d(x_0, Tx_1)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)} + \frac{Fd(y, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1+d(x_0, x_1)}$$

Since,  $S(X) \subseteq X$  and  $T(X) \subseteq X$  we can construct a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  in  $X$  such that,

$x_1 = Sx_0$  and  $x_2 = Tx_1$  also in general

$$(3) \quad x_{2k+1} = Sx_{2k} \text{ and } x_{2k+2} = Tx_{2k+1} \quad \forall k \geq 0$$

From the definition of  $\{x_k\}$  and (1) we can construct

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) &= d(Sx_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1}) \\ &\lesssim Ad(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + Bd(x_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1}) + Cd(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k}) + \\ &\quad \frac{Dd(x_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})d(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k})}{1+d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} + \frac{Ed(x_{2k}, x_{2k})d(x_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})}{1+d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} \\ &\quad + \frac{Fd(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k})d(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})}{1+d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$x_{2k+1} = Sx_{2k}$$

Implies,

$$d(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k}) = 0$$

implies,

$$d(Sx_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1}) \lesssim Ad(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + Bd(x_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1}) + \frac{Dd(x_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})d(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})}{1+d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})} + \frac{Ed(x_{2k}, Sx_{2k})d(x_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})}{1+d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}$$

as we know that,  $|1+d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| > |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|d(Sx_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})| \leq A|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + B|d(x_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})| + D|d(x_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})||d(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})| + E|d(x_{2k}, Sx_{2k})||d(x_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})|}{|1+d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|} \\ & = A|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + B|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})| + \frac{D|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})||d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| + E|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})||d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})|}{|1+d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|} \\ & < A|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + B|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})| + \frac{D|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})||d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})| + E|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})|}{|1+d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})|} \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2}) & \leq d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \\ & < A|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + B|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})| + \frac{D|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})|}{|1+d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|} \\ & < A|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + B|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})| + D\left[|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| + \frac{|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})|^2}{|1+d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|}\right] + E|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})| \end{aligned}$$

It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} & < A|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + B|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})| + 2D|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| + E|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})| \\ & |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| - 2D|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| < A|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + B|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})| + E|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+2})| \\ & |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| - [1-2D] < A|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| + |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})|(B+E) + |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})|(B+E) \\ & |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})|[1-2d-B-E] < (A+B+E)|d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| \\ & |d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| < \frac{(A+B+E)}{|1-2d-B-E|} |d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})| \end{aligned}$$

Similarly;

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) & = d(x_{2k+3}, x_{2k+2}) = d(Sx_{2k+2}, Tx_{2k+1}) \\ & \leq Ad(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) + Bd(x_{2k+2}, Tx_{2k+1}) + Cd(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k+2}) + \frac{Dd(x_{2k+2}, Tx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})}{1+d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})} + \frac{Ed(x_{2k+2}, Sx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+2}, Tx_{2k+1})}{1+d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})} + \frac{Fd(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})}{1+d(Sx_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})} \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$x_{2k+2} = Tx_{2k+1} \text{ implies that } d(x_{2k+2}, Tx_{2k+1}) = 0$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) & \leq Ad(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) + Cd(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k+2}) + \frac{Dd(x_{2k+2}, Tx_{2k+1})d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})}{1+d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})} + \frac{Fd(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})d(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})}{1+d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})} \end{aligned}$$

$$|1+d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})| > |d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})|$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) & \leq A|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})| + C|d(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k+2})| + \frac{D|d(x_{2k+2}, Tx_{2k+1})||d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})|}{|1+d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})|} + \frac{F|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})||d(x_{2k+1}, Tx_{2k+1})|}{|1+d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})|} \end{aligned}$$

using (3)

$$\begin{aligned} & = A|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})| + C|d(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k+3})| + \frac{D|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})||d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+2})|}{|1+d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})|} + \frac{F|d(x_{2k+3}, x_{2k+1})||d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})|}{|1+d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})|} \\ & < A|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})| + C|d(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k+3})| + F|d(x_{2k+3}, x_{2k+1})| \end{aligned}$$

Using triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & < A|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})| + C|d(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k+2})| + C|d(x_{2k+2}, Sx_{2k+3})| + F|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})| + F|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})| \\ & |d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})| - C|d(x_{2k+2}, Sx_{2k+3})| - F|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})| + \leq A|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})| + C|d(x_{2k+1}, Sx_{2k+2})| + F|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| \end{aligned}$$

$$|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3})|[1-C-F] < (A+C+F)|d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})|$$

$$|d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})| < \frac{(A+C+F)}{|1-C-F|} |d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1})|$$

$$\text{Putting } k = \max\left\{\frac{(A+C+F)}{|1-C-F|}, \frac{(A+B+E)}{|1-2d-B-E|}\right\}$$

We obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) & \leq k^1 |d(x_{n-1}, x_n)| \\ & \leq k^2 |d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})| \\ & \leq k^3 |d(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2})| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq k^n |d(x_0, x_1)| \forall n$$

Thus for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$|d(x_n, x_m)| \leq |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| \leq |d(x_n, x_{n+1})| \leq |d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})| \dots \leq |d(x_{n-1}, x_n)|$$

$$\leq (k^{n+1} + k^{n+2} + k^{n+3} + k^{n+4} + \dots + k^{m-1}) |d(x_0, x_1)|$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{k^n}{1-k} \right) |d(x_0, x_1)|$$

And so,

$$|d(x_n, x_m)| \leq \left( \frac{k^n}{1-k} \right) |d(x_0, x_1)| \rightarrow 0$$

As  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$

This implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . since  $X$  is complete, there exist  $v \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow v$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we now show that  $v \in Tv$  and  $v \in Sv$  we get,

$$\frac{Ad(x_{2n}, v) + Bd(x_{2n}, Tv) + Cd(v, Sx_{2n}) + Dd(x_{2n}, Tv)d(v, Sx_{2n}) + Ed(v, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tv) + Fd(v, Sx_{2n})d(v, Tv)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} \in S(Sx_{2n}, Tv) \forall x, y \in X$$

This implies that,

$$\frac{Ad(x_{2n}, v) + Bd(x_{2n}, Tv) + Cd(v, Sx_{2n}) + Dd(x_{2n}, Tv)d(v, Sx_{2n}) + Ed(v, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tv) + Fd(v, Sx_{2n})d(v, Tv)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} \in (\cap_{x \in Sx_{2n}} S(x, Tv))$$

And we have

$$\frac{Ad(x_{2n}, v) + Bd(x_{2n}, Tv) + Cd(v, Sx_{2n}) + Dd(x_{2n}, Tv)d(v, Sx_{2n}) + Ed(v, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tv) + Fd(v, Sx_{2n})d(v, Tv)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} \in S(x, Tv) \forall x \in Sx_{2n}$$

Since  $x_{2n+1} \in Sx_{2n}$ , so we have,

$$\frac{Ad(x_{2n}, v) + Bd(x_{2n}, Tv) + Cd(v, Sx_{2n}) + Dd(x_{2n}, Tv)d(v, Sx_{2n}) + Ed(v, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tv) + Fd(v, Sx_{2n})d(v, Tv)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} \in S(x_{2n+1}, Tv)$$

By definition we obtain,

$$\frac{Ad(x_{2n}, v) + Bd(x_{2n}, Tv) + Cd(v, Sx_{2n}) + Dd(x_{2n}, Tv)d(v, Sx_{2n}) + Ed(v, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tv)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} \in s(x_{2n+1}, Tv)$$

$$\frac{Fd(v, Sx_{2n})d(v, Tv)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} \in s(x_{2n+1}, Tv) = (\cup_{p \in Tv} s(d(x_{2n+1}, p)))$$

$\exists$  some  $v_n \in Tv$  such that

$$\frac{Ad(x_{2n}, v) + Bd(x_{2n}, Tv) + Cd(v, Sx_{2n}) + Dd(x_{2n}, Tv)d(v, Sx_{2n}) + Ed(v, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tv)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} + \frac{Fd(v, Sx_{2n})d(v, Tv)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} \in s(x_{2n+1}, Tv) \in s(d(x_{2n+1}, v_n))$$

i.e.

$$d(x_{2n+1}, v_n) \leq \frac{Ad(x_{2n}, v) + Bd(x_{2n}, Tv) + Cd(v, Sx_{2n}) + Dd(x_{2n}, Tv)d(v, Sx_{2n}) + Ed(v, Sx_{2n})d(x_{2n}, Tv) + Fd(v, Sx_{2n})d(v, Tv)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} + \frac{Fd(v, Sx_{2n})d(v, Tv)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)}$$

By using the greatest lower bound property of  $S$  and  $T$  we have,

$$d(x_{2n+1}, v_n) \leq \frac{Ad(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + Bd(x_{2n}, v_n) + Cd(v, x_{2n+1}) + Dd(x_{2n}, v_n)d(v, x_{2n+1}) + Ed(v, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n}, v_n) + Fd(v, x_{2n+1})d(v, v_n)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)}$$

Now using triangle inequality;

$$d(x_{2n+1}, v_n) \leq Ad(x_{2n}, v) + Bd(x_{2n}, v_n) + Cd(v, v_n) + \frac{Dd(x_{2n}, v_n)d(v, x_{2n+1}) + Ed(v, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n}, v_n) + Fd(v, x_{2n+1})d(v, v_n)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)}$$

$$d(x_{2n+1}, v_n) \leq \left( \frac{B}{1-B} \right) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \left( \frac{A}{1-B} \right) d(x_{2n}, v) + \left( \frac{C}{1-B} \right) d(v, x_{2n+1}) + \left( \frac{D}{1-B} \right) \frac{d(x_{2n}, v_n)d(v, x_{2n+1})}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} + \left( \frac{E}{1-B} \right) \frac{d(v, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n}, v_n)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} + \left( \frac{F}{1-B} \right) \frac{d(v, x_{2n+1})d(v, v_n)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)}$$

again using triangular inequality,

$$d(v, v_n) \leq d(v, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, v_n) \leq d(v, x_{2n+1}) + \left( \frac{B}{1-B} \right) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \left( \frac{A}{1-B} \right) d(x_{2n}, v) + \left( \frac{C}{1-B} \right) d(v, x_{2n+1}) + \left( \frac{D}{1-B} \right) \frac{d(x_{2n}, v_n)d(v, x_{2n+1})}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} + \left( \frac{E}{1-B} \right) \frac{d(v, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n}, v_n)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} + \left( \frac{F}{1-B} \right) \frac{d(v, x_{2n+1})d(v, v_n)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)}$$

It follows that,

$$|d(v, v_n)| \leq |d(v, x_{2n+1})| + \left| \left( \frac{B}{1-B} \right) d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \left( \frac{A}{1-B} \right) d(x_{2n}, v) + \left( \frac{C}{1-B} \right) d(v, x_{2n+1}) \right|$$

$$\left(\frac{D}{1-B}\right) \frac{d(x_{2n}, v_n)d(v, x_{2n+1})}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} + \left(\frac{E}{1-B}\right) \frac{d(v, x_{2n+1})d(x_{2n}, v_n)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)} + \left(\frac{F}{1-B}\right) \frac{d(v, x_{2n+1})d(v, v_n)}{1+d(x_{2n}, v)}$$

By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $|d(v, v_n)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### References

- [1]. Nadler, s b j r . : multi valued contraction mapping. pac. j. math. .30,475-478(1969)
- [2]. Markin, J T : continuous dependence of fixed point sets, proc. Am. Math. soc. .38,545-547(1973)
- [3]. Abbas, m, Arshad, m, azam, A : fixed points of asymptotically regular mapping in complex valued metric space, geogian math. 20,213-221(2013)
- [4]. Abbas, m, fisher, b, nair, t : well-posedness and periodic property of a mapping satisfying a rational inequality in an ordered complex valued metric space, mummer, funct. anal. optim. .32,243—253(2011)
- [5]. Ahmad, j, kiln-eam, c, azam, a : common fixed points for multi valued mappings in complex valued metric spaces with applications. Abstr. appl. anal. .2013, (2013) article ID 854965
- [6]. Arshad, M, Ahmad, j : on multivalued contractions in cone cone metric space without normality, sci. world J. .2013, (2013) article ID 493965
- [7]. Arshad, m, Azam, A, vetro, P : some common fixed point results in cone metric spaces. Fixed point theory and Appl. .2009, (2009) article ID 493965
- [8]. Chakkridklin-eam and suanoom : some common fixed point theorems for generalized contractive type mapping on complex valued metric space article ID-604215
- [9]. Rourkard, f, Imdad, M : some common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Comput. math. Appl. (2012)
- [10]. Sitthikul, k, saejung, S : some fixed point theorems in complex valued metric space Fixed point theory Appl. .2012, (2012) Article ID 189